ANALYSIS OF LAND USE, LAND COVER CHANGE AND THE ASSOCIATION CARBON STOCK CHANGE TO ESTABLISH PROJECT BASELINE



I Wayan Susi Dharmawan Virni Budi Arifanti Ari Wibowo Nugroho Dri Atmojo

Center for Climate Change and Policy Research and Development Forestry Research and Development Agency Ministry of Forestry, Indonesia In Cooperation with International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO) Bogor, 2011



Analysis of Land Use, Land Cover Change and the Association Carbon Stock Change to Establish Project Baseline

By: I Wayan Susi Dharmawan Virni Budi Arifanti Ari Wibowo Nugroho Dri Atmojo

Center for Climate Change and Policy Research and Development Forestry Research and Development Agency Ministry of Forestry, Indonesia In Cooperation with International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO) Bogor, 2011



Analysis of Land Use, Land Cover Change and the Association Carbon Stock Change to Establish Project Baseline

ISBN: 978-602-99985-3-5

Technical Report No 10, November 2011.

By: I Wayan Susi Dharmawan, Virni Budi Arifanti, Ari Wibowo and Nugroho Dri Atmojo

This Report of Activity 2.2.3. is a Part of Program ITTO PD 519/08 Rev.1 (F): Tropical Forest Conservation For Reducing Emissions From Deforestation And Forest Degradation And Enhancing Carbon Stocks In Meru Betiri National Park, Indonesia.

Collaboration Between:

- Center for Climate Change and Policy Research and Development (Pusat Penelitian dan Pengembangan Perubahan Iklim dan Kebijakan)
 Jl. Gunung Batu No. 5, Bogor, West Java, Indonesia Phone: +62-251-8633944
 Fax: +62-251-8634924
 Email: <u>conservation_redd@yahoo.com</u> Website: http://ceserf-itto.puslitsosekhut.web.id
- LATIN the Indonesian Tropical Institute Jl. Sutera No. 1 Situgede, Bogor, West Java, Indonesia Phone: +62-251-8425522/8425523 Fax: +62-251-8626593 Email: <u>latin@latin.or.id</u> and <u>aaliadi@latin.or.id</u> Website: <u>www.latin.or.id</u>
- Meru Betiri National Park, Ministry of Forestry Jalan Siriwijaya 53, Jember, East Java, Indonesia Phone: +62-331-335535
 Fax: +62-331-335535
 Email: meru@telkom.net Website: www.merubetiri.com

This work is copyright © 2011.

Published by: Center for Climate Change and Policy Research and Development Forestry Research and Development Agency Jl. Gunung Batu No. 5 Bogor 16610 Phone/Fax: +62-251-8633944 Email: <u>conservation_redd@yahoo.com</u> Web site: http://ceserf-itto.puslitsosekhut.web.id

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLESiv
LIST OF FIGURESiv
SUMMARY
I. INTRODUCTION
II. SETTING REFERENCE LEVEL (BASELINE)
2.1. Theory
2.2. Reference Level in National Level
III. METHODOLOGY APPLIED
3.1. Study site
2.2. Methods
2.2.1. Remote Sensing Analysis
2.2.2. Estimation of Carbon Stock
2.2.3. Estimation of Baseline/Reference Level
2.2.4. Information on important flora and fauna 10
IV. RESULTS
4.1. Land cover change
4.2. Biomass or Carbon stock
4.3. Reference Level
4.4. Flora and Fauna in Meru Betiri National Park
V. CONCLUSION
VI. RECOMMENDATION
REFERENCES
APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	General information of each type of satellite imageries	6
Table 2.	Landcover classification of MBNP	13
Table 3.	Recapitulation of Land Change Matrix of MBNP	4
Table 4	Estimated above ground carbon stock at different zonation systems in MBNP. 1	15
Table 5.	Estimated above ground carbon stock at several land cover types in MBNP 1	16
Table 6.	Carbon emission and carbon sequestration from land cover changes in Meru	
	Betiri National Park during 1997-2010	17
Table 7.	Rate of CO ₂ sequestration (tCO ₂ eq/year) in Meru Betiri National Park during	
	1997-2010 as historical data	19
Table 8.	Forecasting analysis on rate of CO ₂ sequestration (tCO ₂ eq/year) in MBNP	
	during 2010-2020	20

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Zonation map of MBNP, 1999	. 5
Figure 2.	PSP design for measurement of carbon pools in Meru Betiri National Park	. 7
Figure 3.	Locations of 40 PSPs representing all zones in MBNP	. 8
Figure 4.	MBNP landcover classification from Landsat 1997, 2001, 2005, 2007	
	and 2010	12
Figure 5.	Rate of CO ₂ sequestration (tCO ₂ eq/year) in Meru Betiri National Park during	
	1997-2010 as historical data	18
Figure 6.	For ecasting analysis on rate of CO_2 sequestration (t CO_2 eq/year) in Meru Betiri	
	National Park during 2010-2020	20

SUMMARY

Meru Betiri National Park (MBNP) located in southern part of East Java is one the National Parks which has been selected as the project site for ITTO activities. The total area of the Park is \pm 58,000 ha consisting of various vegetation types from mountainous to coastal areas. MBNP as ITTO project for DA REDD would provide information required by international standard related to credible, measurable, reportable and verifiable (MRV) system for monitoring emission reductions from deforestation and forest degradation and enhancement of forest carbon stocks.

Setting reference level or baseline for GHG emissions is among the more challenging issues in implementing REDD+ projects. Reference level was set based on estimation of carbon stock from ground measurement through establishment of 40 permanent sample plots and remote sensing data to analyse land cover change. Carbon stock in MBNP varied from 28.7 to 166.63 ton C/ha with the highest carbon stock in secondary forest.

Estimation of emission was carried out using IPCC Guideline 2006. The analysis showed that there was sequestration or no emission during the period of analysis in 1997-2010. The sequestration during 1997-2001 was 211.715,56 ton CO_2 -eq/year, in 1997 and 242.460,05 in 2010 with annual average of 226.158,75 ton CO_2 -eq/year. Sequestration in MBNP during the period of 1997-2010 was mainly contributed from low deforestation rate and even there was land changes from other land to forest land due to activities in rehabilitation zone. Meanwhile, from the official report, there were small figures of logging and fires as sources of emission.

Reference level as Business As Usual (BAU) for emission up to the year 2020 was estimated based on average emission from 1997-2010. Regression anlysis was performed to identify the relationship, however there was no model fit for the existing data. Therefore, scenario of emission from MBNP in the future was made based on possible deforestation and population growth. Based on forecasting analysis in BAU, CO₂ sequestration will increase during 2010-2020 from 242.460,05 ton CO₂ eq in 2010, to 264.420,40 ton CO₂eq in 2020, with average of 253.440,22 tonCO₂ eq/year. BAU scenario assumes constant parameters until 2020, such as annual deforestation rate and annual sequestration. Scenario 1 explores what will happen if efforts are put on rehabilitation activities. In this scenario, it assumes that rate of CO₂ sequestration gained from rehabilitation is 24.859,63 $tCO_2eq/year$. Scenario 2 shows that protection activity in primary forest of Meru Betiri National Park result the CO₂ sequestration is 170.925,85 t CO₂eq/year.

These preliminary results showed that carbon emissions in Betiri Meru National Park were negative or sequestration, due to small rate of deforestation and vegetation growth. Therefore, for national park as conservation area, REDD+ should be focussed on maintaining conservation or biodiversity and improvement of community awareness and welfare. Voluntary standard of Community and Climate Biodiversity (CCB) is available for REDD+ project focussing on biodiversity and social safeguards. Information on important flora and fauna as biodiverity in MBNP is also included.

Keywords : Reference level, biodiversty, MBNP, REDD+ conservation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Forestry Sector in the context of climate change is included as LULUCF sector (Land use, land use change and forestry). This sector plays important role in carbon cycle, with contribution in global level up to 18% of total global emission (Stern, 2007). Meanwhile in national level, it contributed to about 48 % of total GHG emission (Ministry of Environment, 2009). However, forests also have capability to absorb carbon through their capability to grow, therefore forest can also contribute to global community through its role in reducing GHGs emissions.

International scheme is being developed to include REDD (Reducing Emission from Deforestation and Degradation) as carbon related mechanism to deal with global warming. REDD is basically the activities to reduce emission through avoidance of forest conversion and other activities that cause deforestation and degradation. The idea is enhancing forest carbon stocks through conservation of the existing forests. Undisturbed conservation forests store significant carbon, and therefore avoiding emission to the atmosphere, meanwhile, illegal logging, disturbance and forest encroachment increase carbon emission to the atmosphere.

Indonesia is potential for REDD activities because it has been experiencing planned and unplanned deforestation and degradation. From total forest area of 133.6 million hectares, annual deforestation rate in Indonesia has been 1.1 million ha (Ministry of Forestry, 2009). Reduction to rate of deforestation may reduce emission from forestry sector.

Meru Betiri National Park (MBNP) located in southern part of East Java is one the National Parks which has been selected as the project site for ITTO activities. The total area of the Park is \pm 58000 ha consisting of various vegetation types from mountainous to coastal areas. MBNP is rich of biological diversity and community living surrounding the forest which give both positive and negative effects to the sustainability of the forest. MBNP as ITTO project for DA REDD would provide information required by international standard related to credible, measurable, reportable and verifiable (MRV) system for monitoring emission reductions from deforestation and forest degradation and enhancement of forest carbon stocks.

For REDD project, setting reference level or baseline for GHG emissions is an important step and among the more challenging issues in implementing REDD+ projects. There is very little guidance in the agreed texts of the UNFCCC. The annex of decision 2/CP.13 suggests: *Reductions in emissions or increases resulting from the demonstration activity should be based on historical emissions, taking into account national circumstances.*

This activity had objective to make analysis of land use, land cover change and the association carbon stock change to establish project reference level as baseline for REDD+ in MBNP. Baseline of reference level is set based on estimation of carbon stock changes and emission from ground measurement through establishment of 40 permanent sample plots and land cover change based on remote sensing data analysis.

II. SETTING REFERENCE LEVEL (BASELINE)

2.1. Theory

Setting Reference Level is important activity for REDD project. Total emission reduction from project activity is set from the reference level. It is usually estimated from historical data of emission, however there has been no agreement on how to set a reference level. Expert suggestion includes using a 5-year average and updating it every 3 years, and using 10-year averages (e.g., the recent Brazil commitment to reduce emissions). Global Observation of Forest and Land Cover Dynamics (GOFC-GOLD, 2009) recommends using forest cover values from 1990, 2000 and 2005, if better data are not available. Baselines, or reference level, can refer to two different things. First, they can refer to a business-as-usual (BAU) scenario, a prediction about what would happen without any REDD+ actions. Second, they can refer to a crediting baseline, which is similar to an emissions quota.

Business As Usual (BAU) baseline is the benchmark for measuring the effect of a REDD+ intervention, while the crediting baseline is the benchmark for rewarding a carbon rights holder. The term 'reference level' is in the sense of crediting baseline. At the international level, reference levels can be seen as modified BAU baselines, which reflect 'common, but differentiated responsibilities'.

There are basically three approaches to establishing a Reference Level to serve as a performance standard against which future emission can be monitored:

a. An average of past conditions. In which case there needs to be agreement for the time interval covered, when it should commence, and how far into the future it should apply. This could serve as the RL and would be the simplest approach.

- b. A modeling approach based on unplanned (unsanctioned) activities and planned land use to meet development goals. In which case a model needs to be selected and the future projection period set.
- c. A further option is to consider a mixed RL where emissions from planned and unplanned drivers of deforestation and degradation are considered differently and separately. In this case, emissions from unplanned activities are measured against a RL based on historical unplanned emissions, or an average of historical emissions.

2.2. Reference Level in National Level

The overall reference level of a REDD+ country must be harmonised with the reference levels set for subnational activities, projects and forest owners. A combination of bottom-up and top-down approaches is needed. This harmonisation of reference levels across scales is a challenging task. While setting reference levels involves political decisions, scientists can help predict deforestation.

One approach to understanding the historical context of deforestation in a country might be to use the forest transition theory. This concept has been used to describe a sequence where forest cover first declines and reaches a minimum before it slowly increases and eventually stabilises. The historical component in setting a reference level would consist of assessing the current position of a country or region within the forest transition curve, and modifying future predictions based on that.

The forest transition theory can also be combined with a land rent modelling approach (the von Thünen framework), constrained by land capability and other important factors. Using this combined approach, a country could assess a range of plausible future rates of deforestation and the future shape of the transition curve (Angelsen 2007).

Ministry of Forestry of Indonesia has described criteria for national RL based on UN Doc FCCC/SBSTA/6 (SB 28 Bonn) Annex III Main methodological issues; Reference emission levels "development of REL is based on historical data, by considering inter-alia, trend, starting period and duration of reference period, availability and reliability of historical data and considering national circumstances. REDD-UNFCCC Meeting on "Methodological issues relating to REL" in Bonn, 2009, stated that REL is total gross emission from geographical area that is estimated for reference time period of REDD.

Decision 4/CP.15 on Methodological guidance for activities relating to REDD and the role of conservation, Sustainable Forest Management (SFM) and enhancement

of forest carbon stock in developing countries, describes: in Article 1 (d): To establish, according to national circumstances and capabilities, robust and transparent national forest monitoring systems and, if appropriate, sub-national systems as part of national monitoring systems that:

- Use a combination of remote sensing and ground-based forest carbon inventory approaches for estimating, as appropriate, anthropogenic forest-related greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks, forest carbon stocks and forest area changes;
- Provide estimates that are transparent, consistent, as far as possible accurate, and that reduce uncertainties, taking into account national capabilities and capacities;
- Are transparent and their results are available and suitable for review as agreed by the Conference of the Parties;

REL based on historical data and adjusted to national circumstances means that RL (in eq CO2) is calculated from historic deforestation rate (ha/year) multiplied by reference period (year) and emission factors (eq CO₂/ha), by considering deforestation projection as party of national circumstances negotiations. Rahayu (2010) stated that National REL is based on activity data of land coverage change from the data of Landsat 5, Landsat ETM+ for the year 1990, 1996, 2003, 2006 and 2009. Emission/removal factors are based on sample plots of National Forest Inventory (NFI), consisting of : 2735 cluster plots (1990-1996), 2735 cluster plots (1990-1996), 1145 cluster plots (1996-2000), 485 cluster plots (2000-2006) and 2997 cluster plots (2006-2010) as redesign of NFI.

III. METHODOLOGY APPLIED

3.1. Study site

Meru Betiri National Park (MBNP) was established through the enactment of Ministerial Decree No. 277/Kpts-VI/1997. Based on this decree, the area of MBNP covered about 58,000 ha, and is located in Jember and Banyuwangi District, East Java Province. Within the area, nucleus zone is the largest proportion of the MBNP (48.13%), followed by forest zone (39%), rehabilitation zone (6.94%), intensive use and buffer zone (5.94%) (Figure 1).

Each zone has its characteristics and function. Based on Ministerial Decree No. 56/2006, nucleus zone is a pristine and dense forest, characterised by indigenous flora and fauna. Forest zone is a buffer for nucleus zone, and situated between nucleus zone and utilization zone. Rehabilitation zone is a degraded area that needs to be rehabilitated. Buffer zone is an area managed specially for accomodating protection and conservation of natureal park, including ecotourism. Utilization zone is utilized for ecotourism and other environmental services purposes.



Figure 1. Zonation map of MBNP

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Remote Sensing Analysis

Detail information on remote sensing analysis is available on Technical Report No.
7. Initial Phase of Measuring Carbon Sequestration For REDD+ In Meru Betiri National Park, Indonesia : Landcover Change Analysis Using Remote Sensing And GIS (Arifanti, *et al*, 2010). Instrument used for conducting data analysis is a unit of computer equipment with software Erdas Imagine 9.1, Er Mapper 7.0, ArcView 3.2. A handheld GPS is used for ground truthing activities in the study site.

Satellite imageries used are as follows:

- (i) Landsat TM 5 Path 117 Row 066 for acquisition for year 1997 and 2001,
- (ii) Landsat ETM 7 for acquisition year 2005, 2007, 2010,
- (iii) ALOS PALSAR for 2007, 2008 and 2009.

General information of each types of satellite imageries used in this study is described in Table 1.

No	Sensor	Spatial Resolution	Temporal Resolution	# of bands
1.	Landsat MS/ETM	30 m	16 days	7 (multispectral)
2.	ALOS PALSAR	50 m	46 days	1 (L band)

Table 1. General information of each type of satellite imageries

The methods used for monitoring of forest cover change using satellite remote sensing can be divided into several steps as follows: (i) Pre-processing including geometric correction, image classification, ground truthing and re-classification, and (ii) generation of land change matrix.

2.2.2. Estimation of Carbon Stock

Carbon stock in MBNP was estimated from PSPs that have been established representing all zones in MBNP. Various design of sample plots have been applied for measurement of carbon stock in forestry project, including 40 x 30 m (JICA-FORDA project), 100 x 100 (National Forest Inventory), 200 x 200 (PSP for monitoring of increment in mineral soil, FORDA, 1993), 30 x 30 m (Dahlan *et al.*, 2005), and 20 x 100 m (Asmoro, 2009, Hairiah and Rahayu, 2007, Hairiah *et al.*, 2001a and b). Refers to ground based inventory, permanent sample plots (PSP) of 20 x 100 meter are established in MNBP to estimate its carbon stocks (Figure 2).



Figure 2. PSP design for measurement of carbon pools in MBNP

Total 40 PSPs were established (see figure) and carbon stock was measured according to IPCC GL 2006, consisting of five carbon pools namely above ground biomass, below ground biomass, necromass, litter and soil.



Figure 3. Locations of 40 PSPs representing all zones in MBNP

• Above Ground Biomass (AGB) Measurement

Above Ground Biomass is measured for living trees and understorey. AGB of living trees is measured with non-destructive method and applies allometric equation to obtain biomass. The allometric equations for biomass usually consist of information on trunk diameter at breast height DBH (in cm), total tree height H (in m), and wood-specific gravity (in g/cm³). Baker et al. (2004) have shown that ignoring variations in wood density results in poor prediction of the stand (AGB). Therefore, the wood-specific gravity is an important predictive variable in the regression model. The choice of the best predictive allometric equations (models) in estimating AGB is developed by Chave et al. (2005) on the basis of climate and forest stand types. Equation (a) is good for moist forest stand, equation (b) for dry forest stand, and equation (c) for wet forest stand:

AGB = $0.0509 * \rho D^2 H$ (equation a) AGB = $0.112 * (\rho D^2 H)^{0.916}$ (equation b) AGB = $0.0776 * (\rho D^2 H)^{0.940}$ equation c) Where, ABG = above ground biomass [kg]; ρ = wood specific gravity [g cm⁻³]; D = tree diameter at breast height [cm]; and H = tree height [m].

Woody Necromass Measurement

The dead organic matter pool (woody necromass) includes dead fallen trees, and other coarse woody debris above the soil surface. Within the plot, all woody debris and trunks (unburned part), dead standing trees, dead trees on the ground and stumps are sampled. Their height (length) and diameter are recorded, as well as notes identifying the type of wood for estimating specific density.

• Litter (Non Woody Necromass) Measurement

Litter consists of leaves and small branches above the ground as non woody necromass. Litter samples are collected from the same quadrants of $0.50 \text{ m} \times 0.50 \text{ m}$ (0.25 m2) as used for under storey sample. Basically it is separated from coarse litter and fine litter. Coarse litter is any tree necromass less than 5 cm diameter and/or less than 50 cm length, undecomposed plant materials or crop residues, all unburned leaves and branches. Fine litter is at organic layer (0- 5 cm above mineral soil layer) in the same quadrates, including all woody roots.

• Soil Samples

Soil organic carbon determined through samples collected from the default depth prescribed by the IPCC (2006). Soil samples are taken from three layers (depth), 0-10 cm, 10-20 cm dan 20-30 cm at six points on each plot. Soil samples are analyzed in the laboratory as composite samples to identify chemical properties such as pH and C content.

2.2.3. Estimation of Baseline/Reference Level

Baseline has been established based on historical data of emission from 1997 to 2010. Regression analysis was made to make prediction up to the year 2020. Average emission was also used to estimate future prediction. Moreover, estimation of future prediction of emission also considers population growth and deforestation rate. The following steps and assumption are made to establish reference level:

- Preparation of land cover change matrix (LCM) for the period of 1997-2010 into six land categories namely: forest land, crop land, grass land, wet land, settlement and others land
- Estimation of annual rate of forest conversion to other land uses for the period of 1997-2010 that was 32,05 ha
- Collection of data for forest disturbance caused by illegal logging, forest fire and land encroachment. Forest disturbance was estimated from data of report of Meru Betiri National Park during 1997-2010. Logging was 56,367.75 m3/year (1997-2001), 18,803.43 m3/year (2001-2005), 17,493.84 m3/year (2005-2007) and 26,171.75 m3/year (2007-2010). Wood densities used in this analysis were 0.52 ton/m3 (primary forest), 0.48 (secondary forest) and 0.69 ton/m3 (mangrove forest). Biomass expansion factor used in this analysis was 0.7.
- Fuel wood consumption was estimated to be about 180 m3/year (data from report of Meru Betiri National Park)
- Emission from mineral soil due to land cover changes was not taken into account
- Above ground biomass of each forest type was estimated using allometric equation. Mean annual biomass increment was based on data in IPCC Guideline 2006.
- All data are used to calculate emission using worksheet of IPCC Guideline 2006.
- Regression was applied using data of number of population and deforestation rate in 1997-2010 to estimate emission/sequestration prediction until 2020.
- Scenarios of emission were made based on rehabilitation activity and protection of primary forest.
- Interpolation of emission/sequestration data of CO₂ from 1997-2010 was made to estimate emission/sequestration of CO₂ from 2010 until 2020.
- Calculation of emission/sequestration of CO₂ was made from rehabilitation activity and protection of primary forest.

2.2.4. Information on important flora and fauna

As conservation area, biodiversity is important component of national park. Informatiom on flora and fauna is collected from database of MBNP from the result of biodiversity survey.

IV. RESULTS

4.1. Land cover change

Time series analyses for land cover change in MBNP showed there are quite distinct changes in the Northern part of MBNP, namely in the rehabilitation zone. In 1997/1998 during the reformation period, forest encroachment and illegal logging had been occurred in the former forested area in MBNP. Since then the area were barren (approximately 4,023 ha) and slowly it was covered with shrub and bushes (Landsat 2001). Since 2003, the area was assigned as rehabilitation zone where intensive reforestation programs had been conducted. This effort had an impact to land coverage, where forest trees are planted intercropped with dry land agriculture vegetation. Landsat 2010 also showed that the area is currently dominated with shrub mixed and dry land agriculture vegetation.



Figure 4. MBNP land cover classification from Landsat 1997, 2001, 2005, 2007 and 2010

LAND COVER	199	7	200	1	200)5	200	07	201	0
	Ha	%								
Water	52	0	52	0	52	0	52	0	52	0
Bush/Shrub	2654	5	1965	4	1932	4	1814	3	1814	3
Primary dry land forest	4030	74	3946	72	3921	72	3853	71	3796	70
Secondary dry land forest	7413	14	8810	16	9181	17	9788	18	1031	19
Mangrove forest	71	0	102	0	102	0	101	0	101	0
Settlement	28	0	28	0	28	0	33	0	38	0
Plantation	1058	2	1186	2	1425	3	1394	3	1373	3
Dry land agriculture	0	0	75	0	633	1	872	2	685	1
Shrub mixed dry land	507	1	265	0	1749	3	1812	3	2060	4
Grassland	1084	2	2144	4	242	0	153	0	153	0
Barren land	1385	3	474	1	0	0	6	0	6	0
Total Area (ha)	5456	10	5456	10	5456	10	5456	10	5456	10

Table 2. Land cover classification of MBNP

Table 2 shows that generally, primary dry land forest dominated the area of MBNP, followed by secondary dry land forest, bush/shrub, shrub mixed dry land agriculture, plantation, grassland, dry land agriculture, barren land and settlements. Primary dry land forest was decreased in each study period about 1-2%, or 4 % decrease was indicated from 1997 to 2010. This could be indicated by a quite large amount of illegal trees (65,414 trees) that was cut down in 1998-2009.

An increase in Secondary forest, Plantation, Dry land agriculture, Shrub mixed dry land agriculture and Grassland was observed during the study period. The use of the rehabilitation zone for involving the local community with the intercropping system was one of the reasons of this increase especially for the increase of dryland and shrub mixed dryland agriculture.

Land use change matrix (LCM) is generated based on 6 land categories of IPCC GL 2006. The adjustment made in LCM is conducted by classifying each land category of IPCC GL 2006 into sub categories of classification of Directorate general of Forest Planning (2006) as follows: Primary dry land forest, Secondary dry land forest and Mangrove forest are reclassified into Forest Land (FL) class; Dry land agriculture, Shrub mixed dry land agriculture and Plantation are reclassified into Cropland (CL) class; Bush/shrub and Grassland are reclassified into Grassland (GL) class; Bare land and Water are reclassified into Other land (OL) class; and Settlement is still classified as Settlement. In LCM, changes in land cover area and its percentage are estimated for (i) land remaining in the same land-use category and

(ii) land converted to a new land-use category. Recapitulation of LCM of MBNP for 1997, 2001, 2005, 2007 and 2010 is presented in Table 3.

Cotogowy	Data source (Landsat TM 1:50.000)									
Category	1997		200	1	200	5	2007		2010	
	На	%	На	%	На	%	На	%	На	%
Total area	54562	100	54562	100	54562	100	54562	100	54562	100
Land category										
A. Forest Land (FL)	47793	88	48372	89	48501	89	48426	89	48381	89
1. FL remaining FL	47793		47428		48216		48316		48324	
2. Land converted to FL	0		944		284		110		56	
B. Crop Land (CL)	1565	3	1526	3	3806	7	4077	7	4118	8
1. CL remaining CL	1565		1186		1496		3684		4016	
2. Land converted to CL	0		340		2311		393		101	
C. Grass Land (GL)	3738	7	4109	8	2174	4	1967	4	1967	4
1. GL remaining GL	3738		2377		2150		1862		1967	
2. Land converted to GL	0		1733		23		105		0	
D. Wetland (WL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1. WL remaining WL	0		0		0		0		0	
2. Land converted to WL	0		0		0		0		0	
E. Settlement (S)	28	0	28	0	28	0	33	0	38	0
1. S remaining S	28		28		28		28		33	
2. Land converted to S	0		0		0		5		4	
F. Other Land (OL)	1438	3	527	1	52	0	59	0	59	0
1. OL remaining OL	1438		77		52		52		59	
2. Land converted to OL	0		449		0		6		0	
G. No Data (ND)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1. ND remaining ND	0		0		0		0		0	
2. Land converted to ND	0		0		0		0		0	

Table 3. Recapitulation of Land Change Matrix of MBNP

Table 3 describes the area and percentage of each land category according to IPCC GL 2006. Forest land has been dominant land cover during all study period. Its coverage from 1997 until 2010 was 89% of the total area. Conversion of land into forest land was fluctuating with the highest in 2001 (944 ha). This phenomenon could be as a result of intensive reforestation and rehabilitation program conducted in the rehabilitation zone, as in 1997 the area was classified as shrubs/bushes and bare land. Within four years period, the shrubs/bushes grew to become secondary

forest which is the most likely to be the dominant factor of the increase of forest land in the North Eastern part of MBNP.

Cropland area showed a distinct increase from 2001 to 2005. The changes occurred mostly in the rehabilitation zone in the Northern part of MBNP. Reforestation program in the rehabilitation zone provides the involvement of local community where they can plant agricultural plants between the wooden trees or which is called as intercropping. As a result, in 2005 the shrubs/bushes class which covered a quite large amount in the rehabilitation zone had been converted into cropland (shrub mixed dry land agriculture).

Land converted to Grassland and to Other Land area tends to decrease. Basically this could be used as one of a parameter to measure the success of reforestation program in MBNP. While settlement is quite stable through the study period, because there is almost no settlement expansion in MBNP.

4.2. Biomass or Carbon stock

Above ground carbon stock estimation at several zonation systems in MBNP varied between 28.7 - 145.98 ton/ha as presented in Table 4. Aboveground carbon stock in the nucleus zone is lower than in the forest zone (133.69 ton/ha). Forest zone has the highest carbon stock compared with other zones (145.98 Ton/ha). Because the nucleus zone is delineated based on the home range of Javanese tiger and not based on the vegetation density, therefore the carbon stock in the nucleus zone is lower than in the forest zone with higher vegetation density.

No	Zone	Carbon stock (Ton/ha)
1	Nucleus	133.69
2	Forest	145.98
3	Use	118.34
4	Intensive use	98.8
5	Rehabilitation	28.7

 Table 4.
 Estimated aboveground carbon stock at different zonation systems in MBNP

Based on the land use system in MBNP the carbon stock is estimated between 28.7-166.63 Ton/ha (Table 5).

-			
No	Land cover	Carbon stock (Ton/ha)	Remark
1	Natural Forest in nucleus zone	135,02	Contain bamboos
2	Natural Forest in forest zone	166,63	Dense vegetation
3	Plantation	98,8	
4	Bushes	93,38	
5	Paddy fields	28,7	
6	Shrub	24,08	

Table 5. Estimated above ground carbon stock at several land cover types in MBNP

Natural forest in forest zone has the highest carbon stock of 166.63 Ton/ha. Natural forest in nucleus zone has lower carbon stock than forest in forest zone, i.e. 137.69 Ton/ha. This is because the designation of the nucleus and forest zone of MBNP is not based on vegetation density stratification. Forest zone in MBNP landcover map is actually densed vegetated and has high diameters compared with the forest in nucleus zone that are grown with mix bamboo forest. The highest carbon stock after the natural forest is found in forest plantation estate (133.29 Ton/ha), because it is dominated with old *Hevea braziliensis* trees. The lowest carbon stock is found in shrub and bushes (24.08 Ton/ha). Paddy fields in MBNP are intercropped with forest trees, so it provides 28.7 Ton/ha higher carbon stock than shrub and bushes.

The highest aboveground carbon stock in MBNP is classified as good (166.63 Ton/ha). Carbon stock in the tropical forests in Asia varies between 40-250 Ton/ha for vegetation and 50-120 Ton/ha for soil.

4.3. Reference Level

The analysis was performed using 2006 IPCC methodology. The result showed that there is no CO_2 emission during 1997-2010 in MBNP. The potency of CO_2 sequestration is higher than CO_2 emission, therefore from historical data of emission Meru Betiri National Park is net sinker. Details of CO_2 sequestration from land cover changes in Meru Betiri National Park during 1997-2010 are shown in Table 6.

Source of Green House Gas and Sink	Emission (Ton CO ₂ eq /year)						
Category	1997-2001	2001-2005	2005-2007	2007-2010			
A. Forest Land (FL)							
A.1. FL remaining FL	-189.335,59	-313.356,63	-329.042,49	-300.951,24			
A.2. Land converted to FL	-18.811,03	-5.607,98	-3.122,31	-855,65			
B. Crop Land (CL)	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
B.1. CL remaining CL	16.042,77	20.685,72	84.310,42	60.149,69			
B.2. Land converted to CL	-322,26	13.465,21	40.989,85	14.252,77			
C. Grass Land (GL)	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
C.1. GL remaining GL	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
C.2. Land converted to GL	-13.408,60	347,75	4.440,30	0,00			
D. Wet Land (WL)	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
D.1. WL remaining WL	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
D.2. Land converted to WL	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
E. Settlement (Set.)	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
E.1. Set. remaining set.	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
E.2. Land converted to set.	0,00	0,00	689,52	379,35			
F. Others Land (OL)	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
F.1. OL remaining OL	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00			
F.2. Land converted to OL	14.843,47	0,00	413,20	0,00			
Total Emission	-190.991,24	-284.465,98	-201.321,56	-227.025,08			

Table 6.The carbon emission and carbon sequestration from land cover changes
in Meru Betiri National Park during 1997-2010

Remark : negative (-) means negative emission or sequestration

Based on historical data, the analysis showed that the rate of CO_2 sequestration was increased during 1997-2010 using model of deforestation rate with population effect (Figure 5). Carbon dioxide sequestration increased during 1997-2010 about 211.715,56 tonCO₂eq/year (1997) to 242.460,05 tonCO₂eq/year (2010), with average 226.158,75 tonCO₂eq/year (Table 7). Based on forecasting analysis in Business as Usual, the CO₂ sequestration will increase during 2010-2020 (Figure 6) about 242.460,05 tonCO₂eq/year (2010) to 264.420,40 tonCO₂eq/year (2020), with average 253.440,22 tonCO₂ eq/year (Table 8). The concept of reference level as Business as Usual in Meru Betiri National Park if refers to past emission is very small or no emission, because there has been no emission in Meru Betiri National Park from historical data. However, MBNP as conservation area will have potential threat in the future such as from population growth and human activities.

Because of that, enhancement of carbon stock through rehabilitation and conservation through protection of primary forest can be regarded as important additionally in REDD+.

The selection of emission factors and activity data is also crucial. If mean data are derived from a large variation of data sets, this might lead to higher standard deviation, or increase uncertainty. Further assessment are required to improve quality of data and reduce uncertainty such as : (i) improvement the quality of satellite resolution for better certainty of land use/cover area estimates, (ii) evaluation of other techniques used for estimating the carbon stock changes and carbon emission (such as expansion of project boundary, improvement of local data), (iii) development of effective and efficient procedure for estimation carbon stock and emissions, (iv) assessment on the effect of carbon stock increase and carbon emission decrease to the biodiversity conservation and hydrological system (due to low rate of deforestation and degradation in MBNP) and (v) assessment on the drivers of deforestation (population growth and economic) to the carbon emission prediction in the future.



Figure 5. Rate of CO₂ sequestration (tCO₂eq/year) in Meru Betiri National Park during 1997-2010 as historical data

	CO ₂ sequestration (tCO ₂ eq/year)				
Year	Scenario 1: Sequestration without population effect	Scenario 2: Sequestration with population effect			
1997	190.991,24	211.715,56			
1998	190.991,24	214.196,55			
1999	190.991,24	216.710,51			
2000	190.991,24	219.257,44			
2001	190.991,24	221.833,21			
2002	284.465,98	224.441,96			
2003	284.465,98	224.215,29			
2004	284.465,98	224.240,02			
2005	284.465,98	227.310,35			
2006	201.321,56	230.627,95			
2007	201.321,56	233.409,79			
2008	227.025,08	236.199,87			
2009	227.025,08	239.604,02			
2010	227.025,08	242.460,05			
Average	226.895,61	226.158,75			

Table 7. Rate of CO_2 sequestration (t CO_2 eq/year) in Meru Betiri National Park
during 1997-2010 as historical data

The BAU scenarios assume constant parameters until 2020. It assumes annual sequestration of 2,196,04 tCO₂eq/year with cummulative sequestration in 2020 will be 264.420,40 tCO₂eq/year. Scenario 1 considers efforts in rehabilitation activities. In This scenario assumes rate of CO₂ sequestration gained from rehabilitation is 24.859,63 tCO₂eq/year. Scenario 2 shows scenario of protection activity in primary forest of Meru Betiri National Park that result in the CO₂ sequestration of 170.925,85 t CO₂eq/year (Figure 6 and Table 8).



- **Figure 6.** Forecasting analysis on rate of CO₂ sequestration (tCO₂eq/year) in Meru Betiri National Park during 2010-2020
- Table 8.Forecasting analysis on rate of CO2 sequestration (tCO2eq/year) in MeruBetiri National Park during 2010-2020

Year	Business as Usual (Deforestation rate with population effect)	Scenario1: Rehabilitation	Scenario2: Primary forest protection
2010	242.460,05	242.460,05	242.460,05
2011	244.656,08	267.319,68	413.385,89
2012	246.852,12	292.179,31	584.311,74
2013	249.048,15	317.038,95	755.237,59
2014	251.244,19	341.898,58	926.163,43
2015	253.440,22	366.758,21	1.097.089,28
2016	255.636,26	391.617,85	1.268.015,13
2017	257.832,29	416.477,48	1.438.940,97
2018	260.028,33	441.337,11	1.609.866,82
2019	262.224,36	466.196,75	1.780.792,67
2020	264.420,40	491.056,38	1.951.718,51
Average	253.440,22	366.758,21	1.097.089,28

4.4. Flora and Fauna in Meru Betiri National Park

As conservation area, Meru Betiri National Park has unique biodiversity. The existing REDD+ activity is expected to maintain biodiversity as co-benefit of the REDD+, as required by voluntary carbon standard of CCBA. Regular monitoring is required to ensure positive impact of REDD+.

Some important flora species protected and included in Appendix of CITES such as burahol (*Stelechocarpus burahol*), Bogem (*Sonneratia caseolaris*) and padmosari flower (*Rafflesia zollengiana*) which is only available in MBNP, and some species of orchids and ferns. Some important fauna include leopard (*Pathera pardus*), Wild Buffalo (*Bos javanicus*), Small Deer (*Muntiacus muntjak*), Java Eagle (*Sphizaetus bartelsii*), Peacock (*Pavo muticus*), Green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) and some species of primates/macacs List of important flora and fauna species are available in Appendix.

V. CONCLUSION

Setting reference level or baseline for GHG emissions is important step of REDD+ project to identify possible emission reduction. Reference level in MBNP was set based on estimation of carbon stock from ground measurement through establishment of 40 permanent sample plots and remote sensing data to analyse land cover change.

Carbon stock in MBNP varied from 28.7 to 166.63 ton C/ha with the highest carbon stock in secondary forest.

Estimation of emission was carried out using IPCC Guideline (2006). There was sequestration or no emission during the period of analysis in 1997-2010. The sequestration was 211.715,56 ton CO2-eq/year, in 1997 and 242.460,05 in 2010 with annual average of 226.158,75 ton CO2-eq/year. Sequestration in MBNP during the period of 1997-2010 was mainly contributed from low deforestation rate and even there was land changes from other land to forest land due to activities in rehabilitation zone. Meanwhile, from the official report, there were small figures of logging and fires as sources of emission.

Reference level as Business As Usual (BAU) for emission up to the year 2020 is estimated based on average emission from 1997-2010. Regression anlysis was

performed to identify the relationship, however there was no model fit for the existing data. Therefore, scenario of emission from MBNP in the future was made based on possible deforestation and population growth. Based on forecasting analysis in BAU, CO2 sequestration would increase during 2010-2020 from 242.460,05 ton CO2 eq in 2010, to 264.420,40 ton CO2eq in 2020, with average of 253.440,22 tonCO2 eq/year. BAU scenario assumed constant parameters until 2020, such as annual deforestation rate and annual sequestration. Scenario 1 explored what would happen if efforts are put on rehabilitation activities. In this scenario, it assumed that rate of CO2 sequestration gained from rehabilitation is 24.859,63 tCO2eq/year. Scenario 2 shows that protection activity in primary forest of Meru Betiri National Park result the CO2 sequestration is 170.925,85 t CO2eq/year.

VI. RECOMMENDATION

- These preliminary results showed that carbon emissions in Betiri Meru National Park were negative or sequestration due to small rate of deforestation and vegetation growth. Therefore, for national park as conservation area, REDD+ should be focussed on maintaining conservation or biodiversity and improvement of community awareness and welfare. Voluntary standard of Community and Climate Biodiversity (CCB) is available for REDD+ project focussing on biodiversity and social safeguards
- Further analysis of land cover classification should be done using higher resolution sattellite images to produce better results.
- Although forest land is still dominant in the MBNP, careful measures should be taken into account to prevent encroachment, illegal logging and the increasing tendency of shrub mixed dryland agriculture in MBNP.
- Scenarios of threat level (population growth and economic development) against emission level prediction in Meru Betiri National Park is required to predict possible high emission in the future.

REFERENCES

- Arifanti, V.B, Bainnaura, A and Ginoga, K.L. 2010. Initial Phase of Measuring Carbon Sequestration For REDD+ In Meru Betiri National Park, Indonesia : Landcover Change Analysis Using Remote Sensing And GIS Technical Report No. 7. ITTO PD 519/8 Rev. 1 (F) in Cooperation with FORDA, Ministry of Forestry.
- Armston, J.D., Danaher, T.J., Goulevitch, B.M., and Byrne, M.I. Geometric correction of Landsat MSS, TM, AND ETM+ imagery for mapping of woody vegetation cover and change detection in Queensland. *Proceedings of the* 11th Australasian Remote Sensing and Photogrammetry Conference. Brisbane, September. 2002. (<u>http://www.derm.qld.gov.au/slats/report.html.</u>, accessed on December 8, 2010).
- Asmoro, J.P.P. 2009. Quantification of Carbon Sequestration on Production, Conservation and Protected Forest. Manokwari Forestry Research Unit – Ministry of Forestry.
- Badan Litbang Kehutanan (FORDA). 1993. Manual of Establishment and Measurement PUP for Monitoring Forest Growth and Increament in Natural Dryland Forest after Logging. Badan Litbang Kehutanan. Jakarta.
- Baker, TR; Philips, OL; Malhi, Y; Almeida, S; Arroyo, L; Di Fiore, A. 2004. Variation in wood density determines spatial patterns in Amazonian forest biomass. *Global Change Biology* 10, 545-562
- Chave, J; Andalo, C; Brown, S; Cairns, MA; Chambers, JQ; Eamus, D. 2005. Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks. *Oecologia*, 87-99
- Dahlan, I.N. Surati Jaya and Istomo. 2005. Estimated Carbon Stand mangium Willd Using Landsat ETM + and SPOT-5: Case Study on BKPH Parung Panjang, KPH Bogor MAPIN XIV Annual Scientific Meeting "Effective Utilization of Remote Sensing for Improving the Welfare of the Nation". Rectorat Building 3rd floor, Campus Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember Surabaya, 14 – 15 September 2005.
- GOFC-GOLD. 2009. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation and 46 degradation in developing countries: a sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring, measuring and reporting. GOFC-GOLD Report version COP14-2. GOFC-GOLD Project Office, Natural Resources Canada, Alberta, Canada.

- Hairiah, K., and Rahayu S. 2007. Measurement of Carbon Stock in Several Land Use. World Agroforestry Centre - ICRAF, SEA Regional Office, University of Brawijaya, Unibraw, Indonesia. 77 p.
- Hairiah,K., Sitompul, SM, van Noordwijk, M. and Palm, C.A., 2001 (a). Carbon stocks of tropical land use systems as part of the global C balance: effects of forest conversion and options for 'clean development' activities. ASB_LN 4A. In: Van Noordwijk, M, Williams, S.E. and Verbist, B. (Eds.) 2001.Towards integrated natural resource management in forest margins of the humid tropics: local action and global concerns. ASB-Lecture Notes 1 12. International Centre for Research in Agroforestry (ICRAF), Bogor, Indonesia.
- Hairiah,K., Sitompul, SM, van Noordwijk, M. and Palm, C.A., 2001 (b). Methods for sampling carbon stocks above and below ground. ASB_LN 4B. In: Van Noordwijk, M, Williams, S.E. and Verbist, B. (Eds.) 2001. Towards integrated natural resource management in -forest margins of the humid tropics: local action and global concerns. ASB-Lecture Notes 1 12. International Centre for Research in Agroforestry (ICRAF), Bogor, Indonesia.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC]. 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Eggleston, H.S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T. and Tanabe, K. (eds.). IGES, Japan.
- Japan International Cooperation Agency/JICA. 2002. Demonstration Study on Carbon Fixing Forest Management Project. Progress report of the project 2001-2002
- MBNP. 1998-2008. Threat and risk data of MBNP.
- Ministry of Forestry. 2009. Forestry Statistics. Ministry of Forestry. Jakarta
- MoE (Minsitry of Environment). 2009. The Indonesia Second National Communication to the UNFCCC. MoE. Indonesia.
- Rahayu, Y. 2010. Establishment of Reference Emission Level for Forestry Sector. Forest Planning Agency. Ministry of Forestry. Workshop organized by TNC-Directorate of PJLWK. Bogor. 2010.
- Stern, N. 2007. 'The Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge

• •	Species Name		CITES
No	Indonesia	Scientific	Protection Status
1	Burahol	Stelechocarpus burahol	-
2	Bogem	Sonneratia caseolaris	-
3	-	Tetrastigma papillosum	-
4	-	Tetrastigma lanceolarium	-
5	Anggrek	Appendicula angustifolia BI	Appendix 2
6	Anggrek	Arachnis callosa (BI.) Garay	Appendix 2
7	Anggrek	Cymbidium simulans Rolfe	Appendix 2
8	Anggrek	Calanthe speciosa (Blume) Lindl	Appendix 2
9	Anggrek	Dendrobium anosmum Lindl.	Appendix 2
10	Anggrek	Dendrobium secundum (BI.) Lindl	Appendix 2
11	Anggrek	Dendrobium tetraedre (BI.) Lindl	Appendix 2
12	Anggrek	Dendrobium stuartii F.M. Bail	Appendix 2
13	Anggrek	Dendrobium reflexitepalum	Appendix 2
14	Anggrek	Dendrobium crumenatum Sw.	Appendix 2
15	Anggrek	Dendrobium tetrodon Rchb.f. ex Lindl	Appendix 2
16	Anggrek	Eria javanica (Sw.) BI	Appendix 2
17	Anggrek	Flixkingeria luxurians (J.J.Sm) A.D Hawke	Appendix 2
18	Anggrek	Kingidium deliciosum (Rchb. F) Sweet	Appendix 2
19	Anggrek	Liparis condylobulbon Rchb. F	Appendix 2
20	Anggrek	Liparis parviflora (Blume) Lind.	Appendix 2
21	Anggrek	Micropera pallida (Roxb.) Lindl	Appendix 2
22	Anggrek	Maleola baliensis J.J. Sm	Appendix 2
23	Anggrek	Oncidium sp	Appendix 2
24	Anggrek	Phalaenopsis amabilis (L.) BI	Appendix 2
25	Anggrek	Pomatocalpa latifolia (Lindl) J.J. Sm	Appendix 2
26	Anggrek	Pomatocalpa naevata J.J Sm	Appendix 2
27	Anggrek	Pomatocalpa spicata Breda	Appendix 2
28	Anggrek	Pteroceras emarginatum (BI.) Holt	Appendix 2
29	Anggrek	Pteroceras sp.	Appendix 2
30	Anggrek	Pteroceras zollingeri (Rchb. F) Holt	Appendix 2
31	Anggrek	Rhynchostylis retusa (L.) BI	Appendix 2
32	Anggrek	Taeniophyllum hasseltii Rchb. F	Appendix 2
33	Anggrek	Thrixspermum acutilobum J.J. Sm	Appendix 2
34	Anggrek	Thrixspermum arachnites (BI.) Rchb.f	Appendix 2

Appendix 1. Important flora in Meru Betiri National Park

35	Anggrek	Thrixspermum subulatum (BI.) Rchbf	Appendix 2
36	Anggrek	Thrixspermum sp	Appendix 2
37	Anggrek	Vanda limbata BI	Appendix 2
38	Paku-pakuan	Lygodium heterodoxzum. Kunze	-
39	Paku-pakuan	Lygodium circinnatum (Burm.f)Swartz	-
40	Paku-pakuan	Lygodium dimorfisme	-
41	Paku-pakuan	Lygodium sp1	-
42	Paku-pakuan	Lygodium sp2	-
43	Paku-pakuan	Lygodium reticulatum. Schkuhl	-
44	Padmosari	Rafflesia zollingeriana	-

Source: Meru Betiri National Park database

Appendix 2. Important fauna in Meru Betiri National Park

No	Species Name		CITES	Estimation of
	Indonesia	Scientific	Protection Status	population
1	Macan Tutul	Panthera pardus	Appendix 1	1
2	Banteng	Bos javanicus	-	231
3	Kijang	Muntiacus muntjak	-	741
4	Elang Jawa	Sphizaetus bartelsii	Appendix 2	3
5	Penyu Hijau	Chelonia mydas	Appendix 1	840
6	Babi Hutan	Sus sp	-	31
7	Merak Hijau	Pavo muticus	Appendix 2	62
8	Lutung	Trachypitechus auratus	Appendix 2	620
9	Monyet Ekor Panjang	Macaca fascicularis	Appendix 2	171
10	Elang sayap Coklat	Butastur liventer	Appendix 2	2
11	Elang Hitam	Ichtinaetus malayensis	Appendix 2	20
12	Elang Laut Perut Putih	Haliaetus leucogaster	Appendix 2	11
13	Elang alap jambul	Accipter trivirgatus	Appendix 2	1
14	Elang ular bido	Spilornis cheela	Appendix 2	7
15	Cekakak batu	Lacedo pulchella	-	16
16	Udang Api	Ceyx erithacus	-	3
17	Pekaka Emas	Pelargopsis capensis	-	3
18	Cekakak jawa	Halcyon cyanoventris	-	5
19	Cekakak Cina	Halcyon pileata	-	22
20	Cekakak Sungai	Todirhamphus chloris	-	31

21	Raja udang biru	Alcedo caerulescens	-	8
22	Pecuk Ular	Anhinga melanogaster	-	4
23	Walet sapi	Collocolia esculenta	-	111
24	Walet sarang putih	Collocalia fuciphaga	-	133
25	Kapinis rumah	Apus affinis	-	335
26	Kapinis jarum kecil	Rhaphidura leucopygtalis	-	4
27	Bambangan merah	Ixobrychus cinnamomeus	-	12
28	Cangak laut	Ardea sumatrana	-	7
29	Kuntul besar	Egretta alba	-	3
30	Kuntul Karang	Egretta sacra	-	21
31	Kekep Babi	Artamus leucorhynchus	-	2
32	Julang	Rhyticeros undulatus	Appendix 2	184
33	Kangkareng Perut Putih	Anthracoceros convexus	Appendix 2	48
34	Jinjing batu	Hemipus hirundinaceus	-	1
35	Jinjing bukit	Hemipus picatus	-	7
36	Jinjing petulak	Tephrodornis gularis	-	2
37	Kepodang ungu jawa	Coracina javensis	-	2
38	Kepodang ungu kecil	Coracina fimbriata	-	3
39	Sepah hutan	Pericrocotus flameus	-	4
40	Takur Bultok	Megalaima lineata	-	3
41	Takur tulung tumpuk	Megalaima javensis	-	35
42	Takur Ungkut- ungkut	Megalaima haemacephala	-	4
43	Cipoh kacat/sirpo	Aegithina tiphia	-	7
44	Cica daun besar	Chloropsis sonnerati	-	29
45	Cica daun syp biru	Chloropsis cochinchinensis	-	11
46	Bangau Sandang Lawe	Ciconia episcopus	-	3
47	Dederuk jawa	Streptopelia bitorquata	-	18
48	Tekukur biasa	Streptopelia chinensis	-	23
49	Uncal buau	Macropygia emiliana	-	6
50	Pergam hijau	Ducula aenea	-	3
51	Delimukan zamrud	Chalcophas indica	-	8
52	Punai kecil	Treron olax	-	1
53	Punai penganten	Treron griseicauda	-	3
54	Tangkar ongklet	Platylophus galericulatus	-	11

55	Gagak Hutan	Corvus enca	-	44
56	Bubut Alang-alang	Centropus bengalensis	-	4
57	Bubut besar	Centropus sinensis	-	3
58	Kedasi hitam	Surniculus lugubris	-	2
59	Kedasi ungu	Chrysococcyx xanthorhynchus	-	3
60	Wiwik Kelabu	Cuculus merulinus	-	3
61	Wiwik Lurik	Cuculus sonneratii	-	3
62	Srigunting bukit	Dicrurus remifer	-	3
63	Srigunting batu	Dicrurus paradiceus	-	7
64	Srigunting kecil	Dicrurus annectans	-	1
65	Srigunting hitam	Dicrurus macrocercus	-	10
66	Srigunting gagak	Dicrurus annectans	-	1
67	Srigunting jambul rambut	Dicrurus hottentottus	-	1
68	Cabai Jawa	Dicaeum trochileum	-	13
69	Bentet Kelabu	Lanius schach	-	52
70	Alap-alap Macan	Falco severus	-	2
71	Alap-alap Kawah	Falco peregrinus	Appendix 1	3
72	Tepekong Jambul	Hemiprocne longipennis	-	5
73	Layang-layang batu	Hirundo tahitica	-	18
74	Sikatan Bakau	Cyornis rufigastra	-	15
75	Sikatan biru muda	Cyornis unicolor	-	1
76	Sikatan dada coklat	Rhinomyias olivacea	-	1
77	Kipasan belang	Rhipidura javanica	-	9
78	Burung madu kelapa	Anthreptes malacensis	-	8
79	Pijantung gunung	Arachnothera affinis	-	3
80	Burung Madu Sriganti	Nectarinia jugularis	-	3
81	Burung madu bakau	Nectarinia calcostetha	-	21
82	Burung madu			
00	pengantin	Nectarina sperata	-	1
83	pengantin Burung madu jawa	Nectarina sperata Aethopyga mystacalis	-	1 17
83 84	pengantin Burung madu jawa Burung madu kelapa	Nectarina sperata Aethopyga mystacalis Anthreptes malacensis	-	1 17 1
83 84 85	pengantin Burung madu jawa Burung madu kelapa Pijantung kecil	Nectarina sperata Aethopyga mystacalis Anthreptes malacensis Aracnothera longirostra	- - - -	1 17 1 2
83 84 85 86	pengantin Burung madu jawa Burung madu kelapa Pijantung kecil Kepodang kuduk hitam	Nectarina sperata Aethopyga mystacalis Anthreptes malacensis Aracnothera longirostra Oriolus chinensis	- - - - -	1 17 1 2 3
83 84 85 86 87	pengantin Burung madu jawa Burung madu kelapa Pijantung kecil Kepodang kuduk hitam Kacembang Gadung	Nectarina sperata Aethopyga mystacalis Anthreptes malacensis Aracnothera longirostra Oriolus chinensis Irena puella	- - - - -	1 17 1 2 3 3 3

89	Empuloh Janggut jenggot	Alophoixus bres	-	80
90	Cucak Kutilang	Pycnonotus aurigaster	-	68
91	Cucak kuning	Pycnonotus melanicterus	-	23
92	Merbah cerukcuk	Pycnonotus goiavier	-	271
93	Cica rante	Pycnonotus bimaculatus	-	5
94	Paok pancawarna	Pitta guajana	Appendix 2	5
95	Ayam Hutan Merah	Gallus gallus	-	38
96	Ayam Hutan Hijau	Gallus varius	-	16
97	Caladi gunung kumis biru	Picus vatatus	-	2
98	Pelatuk ayam	Dryocopus javensis	-	6
99	Caladi ulam	Picoides macei	-	2
100	Caladi tilik	Picoides moluccensis	-	4
101	Pelatuk besi	Dinopium javanense	-	13
102	Pelatuk kijang	Celeus brachyurus	-	13
103	Pelatuk kundang	Reinwardtipicus validus	-	8
104	Pelatuk tunggir mas	Chrysocolaptes lucidus	-	2
105	Kaladibelacan	Dendrocopos canicapillus	-	3
106	Bondol jawa	Lonchura leucogastroides	-	130
107	Bondol Haji	Lonchura maja	-	26
108	Bondol Peking	Lonchura punctulata	-	20
109	Bondol Perut Putih	Lonchura leucogastra	-	16
110	Trinil pantai	Actitisn hypoleucos	-	2
111	Perenjak jawa	Prinia familiaris	-	36
112	Perenjak rawa	Prinia flaviventris	-	384
113	Perenjak kutub	Phylloscopus borealis	-	14
114	Perenjak daun	Phylloscopus trivirgatus	-	26
115	Kukuk beluk	Strix leptogrammica	-	2
116	Cinenen jawa	Orthotomus sepium	-	9
117	Kerak Kerbau	Acridotheres javanicus	-	2
118	Tiong emas	Gracula religiosa	-	3
119	Gemek tegalan	Turnix sylvatica	-	1
120	Gemek loreng	Turnix suscicator	-	3
121	Meninting besar	Enicurus leschenaulti	-	3
122	Kucing hutan	Copsychus malabaricus	Appendix 2	3
123	Anis kuning/punglor	Turdus opscurus	-	2

124	Poksai kuda	Garrulax rufifrons	-	1
125	Pelanduk Topi hitam	Pellorneum capistratum	-	5
126	Tepus gelagah	Timalea pilieata	-	2
127	Berencet Besar	Napothera macrodactyla	-	3
128	Berkecet biru	Eritachus cyane	-	1
129	Kucing hutan	Copshycus malabaricus	Appendix 2	8
130	Kucing kampung	Copshycus saularis	-	1
131	Penyu Slengkrah	Lepidochelys olivacea	Appendix 1	11
132	Penyu Sisik	Eretmochelys imbricata	Appendix 1	1